

Portola Springs Elementary

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2017-18 School Year

Published During 2018-19

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2018-19)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Portola Springs Elementary
Street	12100 Portola Springs
City, State, Zip	Irvine, CA 92618
Phone Number	949-936-8800
Principal	Megan Bricker
E-mail Address	MeganBricker@iusd.org
Web Site	portolasprings.iusd.org
CDS Code	30 73650 0129296

District Contact Information	
District Name	Irvine Unified School District
Phone Number	949-936-5000
Superintendent	Terry Walker
E-mail Address	TerryWalker@iusd.org
Web Site	www.iusd.org

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2018-19)

The vision of Portola Springs Elementary is to inspire students, parents, and staff to communicate and collaborate, creating a vibrant learning community that is safe, inclusive, innovative, and encourages a growth mindset. Our focus is to instill a joy for learning, and empower our students to become creative problem solvers and responsible caring members of our local and global communities. Our mission statement reads: Portola Springs Elementary is a family of respectful and responsible learners. Together with our community we empower our students to be compassionate, empathetic citizens who make a positive difference in our world. Our Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports (PBIS) philosophy can be found throughout the school in calling our students to be GREAT-- Grizzlies are... Respectful, Empathetic, Always positive, and Truly responsible.

Our ELA goal is to develop consistency with ELA differentiation and small group instruction strategies including RTI and Daily 5 models.

This year, our mathematics focus is to use math target trackers to immediately drive instruction.

Our staff also continues to focus on Multi-Tier System of Supports (MTSS) and supporting students across disciplines, with behavior, and with socio-emotional development. We do this through a comprehensive MTSS process along with our focus on Professional Learning Community (PLC) teams. These PLC teams meet weekly to review data and formulate and analyze assessments and practices. We ask ourselves as professionals, what do we want our students to learn? How do we know they've learned it? How do we respond when they're having difficulty? How do we respond when they already know it? This year, our goal for MTSS and PLC teams to use data driven decision making to place students in interventions in the area of socio-emotional, behavior, and academic interventions. Additionally, we remain committed to maintaining the culture and community feel as our school grows.

Additionally, we are focusing on an integration of technology and hands on learning to promote student engagement.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2017-18)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	168
Grade 1	152
Grade 2	144
Grade 3	125
Grade 4	119
Grade 5	117
Grade 6	118
Total Enrollment	943

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.8
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1
Asian	57.6
Filipino	2.9
Hispanic or Latino	11.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
White	18.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	11.6
English Learners	21.5
Students with Disabilities	9.9
Foster Youth	0.2

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2018-19
With Full Credential	32.40	38.93	43.00	1507.07
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0.63	1.50	77.61

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2018

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in August, 2018. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
Mathematics	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in August, 2018. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
Science	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in August, 2018. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in August, 2018. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
Health	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in August, 2018. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
Visual and Performing Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook and/or instructional material.	Yes	0%

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Brand new facility as of August 2015.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/10/2018		
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/10/2018		
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/10/2018	
Overall Rating	Exemplary

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

**CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven**

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	80.0	82.0	77.0	77.0	48.0	50.0
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	80.0	81.0	74.0	74.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

**CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	470	466	99.15	82.19
Male	234	234	100.00	80.34
Female	236	232	98.31	84.05
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Asian	283	281	99.29	87.54
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	53	53	100.00	64.15
White	86	85	98.84	76.47
Two or More Races	33	32	96.97	81.25
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	60	59	98.33	69.49
English Learners	139	137	98.56	76.64
Students with Disabilities	32	32	100.00	40.63

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	471	468	99.36	80.98
Male	235	234	99.57	83.76
Female	236	234	99.15	78.21
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Asian	283	282	99.65	89.72
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	53	53	100	64.15
White	87	86	98.85	62.79
Two or More Races	33	32	96.97	84.38
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	60	59	98.33	67.8
English Learners	139	139	100	80.58
Students with Disabilities	33	32	96.97	40.63

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten**

Subject	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard					
	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2017-18)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	18.8	32.1	36.6

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

Parent involvement is critical to the mission of Portola Springs; it supports the staff and helps reinforce the importance of education to your child. There are many ways to get involved. Following are details of organizations available for parent volunteers. Parent-Teacher Association (PTA) Portola Springs is fortunate to have the support of an active group of parents who devote their time and talents to promote activities and events for our students. Our PTA assists in community building, planning, and providing financial support, not available by other means, which improves the quality of learning and increases opportunities for enrichment for all students. Parents are encouraged to attend meetings, support activities, and volunteer. Dates and times of meetings and activities will be posted on the PTA link of the Portola Springs website and on the Portola Springs PTA Facebook page. School Site Council (SSC) The School Site Council is a group of parents and school personnel whose responsibility is to plan, monitor, and evaluate our School Improvement Plan. Parent members are elected by vote of all parents in the fall. Meetings are open to the general parent community and the schedule of times will be listed on the Portola Springs Website and in the Grizzly Bizz Newsletter. English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) The ELAC is composed of the principal, staff, and parents of English Language Learners who meet to review our instructional support of English Language Learner students. Sometimes this committee is combined with the SSC.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions	0.4	0.7	0.4	1.2	1.6	1.2	3.7	3.7	3.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2018-19)

Portola Springs Comprehensive Safety Plan was revised and reviewed in September 2017. It was reviewed with PTA, Staff, and School Site Council in October. The three main safety goals are as follows:

Goal #1- Personnel Training and Prep for a Disaster- This will include training new staff on roles and duties in the event of a disaster. Training to be completed with district personnel along with IPD.

Goal #2- Facility Awareness- This will include a staff basic understanding the safety features of this facility (such as key cards, locking doors, access systems, parking lot dynamics, etc). This will be accomplished with training and on-going reflection of procedures.

Goal #3- Community Awareness and Collaboration- This will encourage staff and students to work with the community to understand the dynamics of the community safety issues (such as wildlife and traffic) to educate all staff and students on response to such events.

Along with the safety components, Portola Springs also continues to implement both Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) and Multi-Tiered Systems of Support (MTSS) to ensure social-emotional safety of all students as well as academic and behavioral success and safety. Portola Springs G.R.E.A.T. (our school wide PBIS slogan) is a school-wide commitment to positive behavior support. Identifying, teaching, and reinforcing the expected behaviors allow students to achieve academic, behavioral, and social success. Portola Springs G.R.E.A.T. stems from the University of Oregon's Center of Positive Behavior Interventions and Support (P.B.I.S.). Portola Springs Elementary staff has undergone extensive training and has experience with the P.B.I.S. philosophies. Our staff adopted the P.B.I.S. philosophy and our first step was our behavioral statement of purpose: Portola Springs Elementary is a family of respectful and responsible learners. Together with our community we empower our students to be compassionate, empathetic citizens who make a positive difference in our world.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2015-16				2016-17				2017-18			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	21	2	5		24	1	5		24	1	6	
1	26		4		25		5		30		5	
2	28		3		29		4		29		5	
3	24	1	4		28		4		31		4	
4	29		3		27	1	3		25	1	4	
5	32		2		33		1	2	33			3
6	24		1		24	1	2		27	1	4	
Other	8	1			4	1						

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

** "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2017-18)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.4	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1.25	N/A
Psychologist	1.0	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0.2	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	2.225	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	4.5	N/A
Other	0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$4,824	\$161	\$4,663	\$79,367
District	N/A	N/A	\$5,566	\$82,232
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-17.7	-3.5
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,125	\$80,764
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-41.8	-1.7

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

The Local Control Funding Formula has replaced California’s previous method of allocating funds to school districts. In place of more than 40 specific funding categories, the new model has established three sources of state funding with increased local discretion to determine how best to spend those funds in the service of students. All districts receive a BASE of per-student funding that varies slightly depending on grade level. All districts also receive SUPPLEMENTAL funding. IUSD has used BASE and SUPPLEMENTAL funding to support the following programs:

Site Programs and Services: 1) Support professional learning and teacher coaching, 2) provide summer school and summer athletic programs, 3) Allocating site funding and staffing to support student achievement, 4) Elementary P.E. paraprofessionals, 5) Support for English language learners, 6) Elementary science, music, and art programs, 7) Purchase textbooks and instructional materials, 8) Develop a technology rich learning environment including: Technology matching programs, Technology Maintenance fund and site technology support staff, 9) Maintain previously implemented class-size reduction, 10) Additional secondary sections to reduce class size, 11) Middle school zero period program, 12) Maintain positive behavioral intervention and support program, 13) Maintain site staffing with full-time assistant principals, 14) 450:1 counseling ratio, support for large elementary schools and elementary classroom aides, 15) Support interventions and supplemental programs impacting English learners, low income and foster youth, 16) Continue to build Career-Technical Education pathways and blended On-line learning opportunities for students, 17) Develop mental health and wellness programs and hire staff including support for the Irvine Family Resource Center.

Finally, CONCENTRATION grant funding equal to 50 percent of the base is provided to districts in which English-learners, low-income students and foster youth exceed 55 percent of the total enrollment. Irvine Unified School District is not eligible for concentration funding because its enrollment does not exceed the 55 percent threshold.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$47,823	\$47,903
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$85,411	\$74,481
Highest Teacher Salary	\$110,405	\$98,269
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$121,210	\$123,495
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$146,697	\$129,482
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$165,402	\$142,414
Superintendent Salary	\$320,910	\$271,429
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	34.0	35.0
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.0	5.0

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

2018-2019 School Year

1. 8/17/2018- 6 hours- Culture, PLC Health
2. 10/8/2018- 6 hours- MTSS/ Tech/ Safety training
3. 11/28/2018- 2 hours- PBIS/MTSS
4. 1/23/2019- 2 hours- Math extension/ Social-Emotional Learning
5. 2/11/2019- 6 hours- District led student learning
6. 5/15/2019- 2 hours- Goal review and vision building

2016-2017 School Year

1. 8/18/2016- 6 hours- Thinking Maps Introduction
2. 8/19/2016- 6 Hours- PLC and The Learning Cycle
3. 9/21/2016- 2 Hours- PBIS Function of Behaviors
4. 10/10/2016- 6 Hours- Thinking Maps, PLC/MTSS, Safety and Security
5. 1/18/2017- 2 Hours- Technology and Collaborative Spaces
6. 2/17/2017- 6 Hours- PLC and The Learning Cycle
7. 4/26/2017- 2 Hours- PLC/MTSS Tier 2 Interventions

2015-2016 Staff Development

1. Thursday, August 20th, 2015- Strategic Initiatives & CIEs, District, School Wide & Individual Goals, PLCs, MTSS & Common Core, Intellectual Risk-Taking- on Site led by Principal and staff
2. Monday, August 24th, 2015- Waiver day – 6 hour full day PD #1- Mathematics at the district level
3. Wednesday, September 30, 2015- 6 hour full day PD #2- Professional Learning Communities & Evaluating Assessment Data- Led by Principal and MTSS Psychologists
4. Monday, October 12, 2015 - 2 hours site meeting #1- PLCs/MTSS, Curriculum & Technology Integration, Safety and Security with Irvine PD Lockdown simulation training- Led by Principal, Staff, and Irvine Police
5. Wednesday, January 13, 2016- 6 hour full day PD #3- Mental Health Inservice- Led by Site (with 3 other schools joining)
6. Friday, February 12, 2016- 6 hour full day PD #4- Science/ Content Literacy- Led by District
7. Wednesday, April 20, 2016- 2 hour site meeting #3- PLCs/MTSS, Reflection on 2015-16 Goals, Goal Setting for 2016-17- Led by Site