Irvine High School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year

Published During 2017-18

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

School Contact Info	School Contact Information				
School Name	Irvine High School				
Street	4321 Walnut Ave.				
City, State, Zip	Irvine, CA 92604				
Phone Number	(949) 936-7000				
Principal	Monica Colunga, Ed.D.				
E-mail Address	monicacolunga@iusd.org				
Web Site	http://www.irvinehigh.org/				
CDS Code	30-73650-3030152				

District Contact Information			
District Name	Irvine Unified School District		
Phone Number	(949) 936-5000		
Superintendent	Mr. Terry Walker		
E-mail Address	TerryWalker@iusd.org		
Web Site	www.iusd.org		

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

Irvine High School opened its doors in 1976. It is one of five comprehensive high schools in the Irvine Unified School District and supports a population of 2058 students in grades 9-12. Irvine High School is proud of its ethnic diversity. Numerous students represent a variety of ethnic backgrounds and speak many different languages. In 2007 Irvine High School was named a California Distinguished School by the State Board of Education. In 2015 Irvine High School was once again named a Grammy Signature School Semi- Finalist, recognizing the music program as one of the top in the nation. In spring 2012 Irvine High was awarded a six-year status of accreditation from the Accrediting Commission for the Western Association of Schools and Colleges. In 2012 Irvine High School was selected as a California Leadership Site for our exemplary program in Positive Behavior Intervention and Support. In 2017 Irvine High School was recognized as a Gold Ribbon School and an Arts Exemplary School by the CA Department of Education.

At Irvine High School, our mission is to enable all students to become contributing members of society empowered with the skills, knowledge, and values necessary to meet the challenges of a changing world, by providing the highest quality educational experience we can envision.

Irvine High School incorporates a Positive Behavioral Intervention and Support culture that utilizes the acronym of IHS to identify the values that are significant to the student climate and culture at Irvine High School. These values are: Integrity, Honoring Self and Others, and Social Responsibility.

The Expected School wide Learning Results for Irvine High School students are listed below:

Students will be able to:

- Demonstrate the ability to think clearly and to solve problems.
- Demonstrate the ability to communicate effectively.
- Demonstrate an understanding of what it means to be members of their local, national, and global communities.
- Demonstrate knowledge of personal health issues and will exhibit positive interpersonal skills.
- Develop a realistic plan and to prepare for a successful (informed) transition from high school.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade	Number of
Level	Students
Grade 9	499
Grade 10	545
Grade 11	533
Grade 12	490
Total Enrollment	2,067

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	2.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2
Asian	49.4
Filipino	4.3
Hispanic or Latino	11.8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.6
White	27.9
Two or More Races	2.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	19.4
English Learners	12.9
Students with Disabilities	9
Foster Youth	0.1

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

T		District		
Teachers	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18
With Full Credential	88.57	89.00	91.72	1495.50
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	12.0	12.18	4.00	67.90

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

^{*} Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2017

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy	
Reading/Language Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in August, 2017. All core textbooks and instructional materials purchased are board approved.	Yes	0%	
Mathematics	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in August, 2017. All core textbooks and instructional materials purchased are board approved.	Yes	0%	
Science	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in August, 2017. All core textbooks and instructional materials purchased are board approved.	Yes	0%	
History-Social Science			0%	
Foreign Language	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material. All textbooks purchased are board approved and are standards aligned.	Yes	0%	
Health	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in August, 2017. All core textbooks and instructional materials purchased are board approved.	Yes	0%	
Visual and Performing Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook and/or instructional material.	Yes	0%	
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	Yes	0%	

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Irvine High School is a safe and clean environment for learning. Our buildings and landscaping have received recognition for their architectural beauty. Irvine High School is a safe and functional campus. A new 12 classroom building that houses our Social Science department was built in the summer and fall of 2015. The synthetic turf and all weather track were replaced in the stadium during the summer of 2015. Light fixtures throughout the campus have been replaced to provide better lighting. The City of Irvine completely renovated the swimming complex. New landscaping has been added and painting of the exterior façade has taken place. Cemented walkways are inspected and replaced for safety. The Irvine Fire Department inspects the facilities for safety annually and the district's Safety and Security Department inspect quarterly. Work orders and repairs are ongoing and attended to in a timely fashion. Irvine High School will be undergoing modernization of the Math and World Language Building as well as the renovation of the Science Large Group room to a new Science Lab.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the most recently collected FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 2016							
	R	Repair Statu	ıs	Repair Needed and			
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned			
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х						
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х						
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х						
Electrical: Electrical	Х						
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х						
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х						
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х						
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х						

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 2016						
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor		
Overall Rating	Х					

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)						
Subject	School		District		State		
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	79	77	78	77	48	48	
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	66	65	75	74	36	37	

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	526	507	96.39	76.92
Male	265	253	95.47	73.52
Female	261	254	97.32	80.31
Black or African American	18	18	100	27.78
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	261	256	98.08	85.94
Filipino	17	16	94.12	75
Hispanic or Latino	59	54	91.53	66.67
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	145	141	97.24	69.5
Two or More Races	15	15	100	93.33
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	104	98	94.23	59.18
English Learners	90	82	91.11	50
Students with Disabilities	47	45	95.74	44.44
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	526	504	95.82	65.28
Male	265	253	95.47	62.06
Female	261	251	96.17	68.53
Black or African American	18	17	94.44	17.65
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	261	255	97.7	86.67
Filipino	17	17	100	41.18
Hispanic or Latino	59	54	91.53	27.78
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	145	139	95.86	51.08
Two or More Races	15	15	100	60
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	104	97	93.27	44.33
English Learners	90	80	88.89	57.5
Students with Disabilities	47	44	93.62	13.64
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3—Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
Subject	School		Dist	trict	State				
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16			
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	78	80	88	87	60	56			

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2016-17)

Students at each of the Irvine High School have opportunities to participate in Career and Technical Education (CTE) coursework that provides them with the skills to pursue careers in high demand industries in Southern California. The career pathways leading to post-secondary employment and college or university education programs are; Digital, Visual and Media Arts, Production and Managerial Arts — Theater Stage Craft and Video Production, Engineering Design, Information and Communication Technologies, Entrepreneurship, and Automotive Service-Repair and Maintenance. CTE courses are aligned with the CA CTE Model Curriculum Standards and the CA Career Readiness Standards. The CTE program is integrated with the academic program and satisfy high school graduation requirements. CTE courses are UC a-g approved college preparatory courses meeting course requirements for admission to the University of California and California State University campuses. The CTE program has a strong guidance-counseling component where students participate in career exploration through individual student-counselor meetings and group guidance lessons that include career interest surveys and preparing for college and career.

The district's partnership with Coastline ROP (Regional Occupation Program) makes additional career exploratory courses available in all industry sectors. The CTE program includes courses that articulate with regional community colleges.

The district is a member of regional consortiums including participating members in OC Pathways, CTEoc (CTE Orange County), and the Irvine Chamber of Commerce. Teachers participate in professional development and network with business and industry representatives who serve as guest speakers, mentors, and advisors. Members of the business community participate in the career and technical education advisory committee.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2016-17)

Measure	CTE Program Participation				
Number of pupils participating in CTE					
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma					
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	69%				

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2016–17 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	97.05
2015–16 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	57.36

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

Grade	Perce	ndards	
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
9	9.7	17.5	63.4

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

· Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Parents of Irvine High have the opportunity to participate in PTSA, School Site Council, a wide range of academic, athletic, and performing arts booster clubs. Parents can become involved at the district level through various advisory committees and at the community level through the Irvine Public Schools Foundation.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

la dia atau	School			District			State		
Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Dropout Rate	2.1	2.4	2.1	2.6	2.8	1.5	11.5	10.7	9.7
Graduation Rate	96.79	96.57	95.83	95.78	94.75	96.3	80.95	82.27	83.77

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2016 (One-Year Rate)

Semple and the semination of t	Graduating Grads of Lots (Grie Tear nate)						
Cura-via		Graduating Class of 2016					
Group	School	District	State				
All Students	98.51	97.51	87.11				
Black or African American	100	96.3	79.19				
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	100	80.17				
Asian	99.07	98.91	94.42				
Filipino	100	94.59	93.76				
Hispanic or Latino	100	96.09	84.58				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	100	100	86.57				
White	96.55	97.08	90.99				
Two or More Races	100	93.06	90.59				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100	94.12	63.9				
English Learners	82.05	81.07	55.44				
Students with Disabilities	98.21	97.52	85.45				
Foster Youth	100	100	68.19				

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

	School			District			State		
Rate	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	2.8	2.1	2.2	1.4	1.2	1.6	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

Irvine High School has developed a comprehensive Safe School Plan. Our plan is evaluated yearly and amended, as needed, by the School Site Council and the School Safety Planning Committee. Key elements of the plan include: 1. Assessing the current status of school crime committed on the school campus and at school-related functions, 2a. Child Abuse Reporting Procedures, 2b. Disaster Procedures-Routine and Emergency, 2c. Policies for Suspension, Expulsion and Mandatory Expulsion, 2d. Procedures for Notification of Staff Regarding Dangerous Students, 2e. Policies on Sexual Harassment, 2f. Policy Relating to School Dress Code (especially gangrelated apparel), 2g. Procedures for Safe Ingress and Egress of Pupils, Parents, and School Employees To and From School, 2h. Procedures that Create a Safe and Orderly Environment Conducive to Learning at School, 2i. Rules and Procedures on School Discipline.

We believe that safety is everyone's responsibility and work together to ensure it. Two full time and one part-time campus supervisors enhance school safety and security. These supervisors attend workshops throughout the year on such topics as non-violent interventions, crisis intervention, multicultural awareness, and legal updates. Irvine High School has a cooperative relationship with the Irvine Police Department and the City of Irvine, and participates with them in the Officer on Campus Program, Operation Safe Campus, the Gang Network Program, and the Safe Community Task Force. All staff members support a Zero Tolerance policy for weapons of any kind and serious disciplinary actions are taken against any student who endangers the safety of others. Harassment is not tolerated.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2012-2013
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	7
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	53.8

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

		201	4-15			201	5-16			201	6-17	
Subject	Avg.	Numb	er of Clas	srooms	Avg.	Numb	er of Class	srooms	Avg.	Numb	er of Clas	srooms
	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	25	16	40	3	26	15	35	8	26	16	31	13
Mathematics	22	2	8		23	2	9		17	6	7	
Science	29	6	36	9	30	1	47	5	28	8	44	8
Social Science	25	8	36	5	27	7	29	12	26	9	29	11

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	4.6	449
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	1.0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.63	N/A
Psychologist	2.0	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0.8	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0	N/A
Resource Specialist	2.0	N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

		Average			
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary	
School Site	\$6,753	\$576	\$6,178	\$88,048	
District	N/A	N/A	\$5,279	\$79,635	
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	17.0	10.6	
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,574	\$79,228	
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-6.0	11.1	

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

The Local Control Funding Formula has replaced California's previous method of allocating funds to school districts. In place of more than 40 specific funding categories, the new model has established three sources of state funding with increased local discretion to determine how best to spend those funds in the service of students. All districts receive a base of per-student funding that varies slightly depending on grade level. All districts also receive supplemental funding. IUSD has used supplemental funding to support the following programs:

District level: 1) additional course sections for EL students at the secondary level, 2) release periods for EL Coordinators to facilitate program placement and progress monitoring of students, 3) instructional paraprofessionals in the classroom, and 4) professional learning, coaching opportunities, and collaboration time for integrated program development districtwide.

^{*}One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Site level: 1) instructional paraprofessionals in the classroom and staff for intervention and wellness programs, 2) teacher release for professional learning, instructional rounds, and PLC work, 3) supplemental instructional and intervention materials, and 4) the development of flexible learning environments that meet the unique needs of a very diverse student population.

Finally, concentration grant funding equal to 50 percent of the base is provided to districts in which English-learners, low-income students and foster youth exceed 55 percent of the total enrollment. Irvine Unified School District is not eligible for concentration funding because its enrollment does not exceed the 55 percent threshold.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$45,869	\$47,808
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$81,921	\$73,555
Highest Teacher Salary	\$105,894	\$95,850
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$123,093	\$120,448
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$128,842	\$125,592
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$145,097	\$138,175
Superintendent Salary	\$286,537	\$264,457
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	36%	35%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2016-17)

Advanced Fideement (AF) Codises (School Fedi 2010 17)		
Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	1	N/A
English	2	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts	4	N/A
Foreign Language	1	N/A
Mathematics	2	N/A
Science	4	N/A
Social Science	5	N/A
All courses	19	33.8

Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Staff Development Days and Hours for 2016-2017 equal a minimum of 3 days and 12 hours.

The primary area of focus for staff development for the 2014-2017 school years has been the ongoing development of collaboration through Professional Learning Community concepts as we worked to develop Common Core Standard Curriculum and formative assessments that guide our instruction. Our WASC self study data and action plan are used as a spring board for collaboration and guiding instruction along with formative assessments, end of course exams and anchor and benchmark exams and assignments. Staff development late starts are centered around department established SMART Goals and Focus Group responses to our WASC and Single Plan for Student Achievement criteria categories.

^{*}Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.