

Alderwood Elementary School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year

Published During 2017-18

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Alderwood Elementary School
Street	2005 Knollcrest
City, State, Zip	Irvine, CA 92603
Phone Number	(949) 936-5400
Principal	Kelli Cheshire
E-mail Address	Kellicheshire@iusd.org
Web Site	http://www.iusd.org/ab/
CDS Code	30-73650-6100838

District Contact Information	
District Name	Irvine Unified School District
Phone Number	(949) 936-5000
Superintendent	Mr. Terry Walker
E-mail Address	TerryWalker@iusd.org
Web Site	www.iusd.org

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

Alderwood Elementary, located in the Quail Hill area of Irvine just south of the 405 freeway, is home to approximately 850 pupils in grades TK-6. Alderwood serves as the Quail Hill neighborhood school. A philosophy built upon the commitment to continuous improvement for all staff and students, the direct instruction and acknowledgement of school-wide behavior expectations, a strong level of articulation amongst staff, and an exceptional partnership with our parent community prepares our students with the skills and knowledge necessary to succeed in today's world. A special strength of our educational program includes the substantial enrichment provided by Alderwood's Parent Teacher Association.

Our mission is to enable all students to become contributing members of society empowered with the skills, knowledge, and values necessary to meet the challenges of a changing world, by providing the highest quality educational experience we can envision.

At Alderwood Elementary School:

- Education is a shared responsibility between the students, the staff, the home, and the community.
- Children are the focal point of all decisions.
- Students demonstrate respect for themselves and others in a culturally diverse population and learn to work together toward common goals.
- Students and staff members hold themselves to high standards of personal performance and are accountable for their decisions and their actions.
- Students become self-motivated lifelong learners who discover the joy of learning through an engaging educational program.
- Students acquire 21st century learning skills allowing them to be successful in all aspects of our global society.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	120
Grade 1	112
Grade 2	124
Grade 3	128
Grade 4	117
Grade 5	123
Grade 6	113
Total Enrollment	837

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1
Asian	43
Filipino	2.6
Hispanic or Latino	12.2
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.1
White	28.3
Two or More Races	11.9
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	9
English Learners	22
Students with Disabilities	8.4
Foster Youth	0

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18
With Full Credential	32	32.4	32.95	1495.50
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0.55	67.90

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2017

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in August, 2017. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
Mathematics	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in August, 2017. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
Science	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in August, 2017. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in August, 2017. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
Health	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in August, 2017. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
Visual and Performing Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook and/or instructional material.	Yes	0%

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Alderwood Basics Plus School has the good fortune to be housed in beautiful brand new facility since September 2005. This facility houses twenty-six classrooms, the multi-purpose room and stage area, the media center/computer lab, and various administration/clerical and support team offices and work areas, Four modular classrooms were added to increase total classrooms by four. The school is indeed fortunate to have a custodial staff that is committed to maintaining this new facility to the highest standards. Alderwood maintains a clean and safe environment that positively supports the teaching and learning taking place daily.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 2017				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 2017				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	82	75	78	77	48	48
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	75	72	75	74	36	37

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	491	487	99.19	74.74
Male	259	256	98.84	70.31
Female	232	231	99.57	79.65
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Asian	215	213	99.07	81.69
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	64	64	100	60.94
White	132	130	98.48	70.77
Two or More Races	62	62	100	80.65
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	57	57	100	54.39
English Learners	128	125	97.66	64.8
Students with Disabilities	48	48	100	58.33

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	491	489	99.59	71.98
Male	259	257	99.23	73.15
Female	232	232	100	70.69
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Asian	215	214	99.53	85.51
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	64	64	100	57.81
White	132	131	99.24	62.6
Two or More Races	62	62	100	69.35
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	57	57	100	47.37
English Learners	128	127	99.22	68.5
Students with Disabilities	48	48	100	58.33

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten**

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	89	88	88	87	60	56

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	17.1	29.5	41.9

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Parent participation is a hallmark of Alderwood’s culture. The Parent Teacher Association (PTA) is a very important partner in our school programs. There are numerous opportunities to become involved at Alderwood from classroom volunteers to fundraising events to parent education nights to being an official duplicator. The strong partnership between parents and staff has formed what is described as the “Alderwood Difference.” In addition to Back to School Night parent presentations, parents of students who are not achieving are invited to a Student Study Team meeting to review resources and plan interventions. Family activities during the year include family PTA programs such as Family Festival and Movie night, Book fairs, Multicultural Event and many more. There are GATE parent nights and special education parent nights run through the site and the district to increase the involvement of parents of children with special program needs. Parents and community representatives are involved in planning, implementing and evaluating programs through: School Site Council (SSC), English Language Advisory Council (ELAC), PTA, and Meet & Greet opportunities for the public with the principal.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	0.5	0.2	0.2	1.4	1.2	1.6	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

Alderwood School has developed a comprehensive Safe School Plan. The plan is evaluated yearly and amended, as needed by the school safety team. Key elements of the plan include: 1. Assessing the current status of school crime committed on the school campus and at school-related functions, 2a. Child Abuse Reporting Procedures, 2b. Disaster Procedures-Routine and Emergency, 2c. Policies for Suspension, Expulsion and Mandatory Expulsion, 2d. Procedures for Notification of Staff Regarding Dangerous Students, 2e. Policies on Sexual Harassment, 2f. Policy Relating to School Dress Code, 2g. Procedures for Safe Ingress and Egress of Pupils, Parents, and School Employees To and From School, 2h. Procedures that Create a Safe and Orderly Environment Conducive to Learning at School, 2i. Rules and Procedures on School Discipline.

To further ensure a safe environment for our children, the entire staff is regularly trained in disaster preparedness. K-6 staff regularly practices fire, earthquake, lock down procedures, emergency disaster drills and an annual re-unification drill.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2012-2013
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	7
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	53.8

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2014-15				2015-16				2016-17			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	29		3		29		4		30		4	
1	29		4		29		4		28		4	
2	27		5		29		4		27		4	
3	30		4		27		5		29		5	
4	32		3	1	30		4		29		4	
5	31		4		30		4		31		4	
6	31		4		31		4		28		4	

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.6	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.63	N/A
Psychologist	0.5	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0.4	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.2	N/A
Resource Specialist	1.0	N/A
Other	0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$5,080	\$193	\$4,886	\$84,333
District	N/A	N/A	\$5,279	\$79,635
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-7.4	5.9
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,574	\$79,228
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-25.7	6.4

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

The Local Control Funding Formula has replaced California’s previous method of allocating funds to school districts. In place of more than 40 specific funding categories, the new model has established three sources of state funding with increased local discretion to determine how best to spend those funds in the service of students. All districts receive a base of per-student funding that varies slightly depending on grade level. All districts also receive supplemental funding. IUSD has used supplemental funding to support the following programs:

District level: 1) additional course sections for EL students at the secondary level, 2) release periods for EL Coordinators to facilitate program placement and progress monitoring of students, 3) instructional paraprofessionals in the classroom, and 4) professional learning, coaching opportunities, and collaboration time for integrated program development districtwide.

Site level: 1) instructional paraprofessionals in the classroom and staff for intervention and wellness programs, 2) teacher release for professional learning, instructional rounds, and PLC work, 3) supplemental instructional and intervention materials, and 4) the development of flexible learning environments that meet the unique needs of a very diverse student population.

Finally, concentration grant funding equal to 50 percent of the base is provided to districts in which English-learners, low-income students and foster youth exceed 55 percent of the total enrollment. Irvine Unified School District is not eligible for concentration funding because its enrollment does not exceed the 55 percent threshold.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$45,869	\$47,808
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$81,921	\$73,555
Highest Teacher Salary	\$105,894	\$95,850
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$123,093	\$120,448
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$128,842	\$125,592
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$145,097	\$138,175
Superintendent Salary	\$286,537	\$264,457
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	36%	35%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Targeted staff development activities are essential for maintaining and improving quality education. The topics chosen for Alderwood’s in-depth annual study or practice are connected to the district and school action plans for continuous improvement and student achievement. Student achievement data, program assessment data, and state instructional focuses drive content identified in the two aforementioned action plans. The primary focuses of staff development for the current year include Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS), utilizing instructional technology to think critically and creatively and taking intellectual risks. Professional development sessions take place during teacher release days, student free days and after school two hour sessions designated throughout the year. Annual study and practice mastery are monitored through the individual and team continuous improvement goal process. Primary areas of focus include: Thinking Maps Training, PLC Development, GAFE Training and PBIS.