

College Park Elementary School

2021-2022 School Accountability Report Card

(Published During the 2022-2023 School Year)



General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

SARC Overview



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>

For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>

For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest



DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

California School Dashboard



The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/> reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

2022-23 School Contact Information

School Name	College Park Elementary School
Street	3700 Chaparral Ave.
City, State, Zip	Irvine, CA 92606
Phone Number	(949) 936-5550
Principal	Meg Gwyn
Email Address	meggwyn@iusd.org
School Website	https://collegepark.iusd.org/
County-District-School (CDS) Code	30-73650-6089437

2022-23 District Contact Information

District Name	Irvine Unified School District
Phone Number	(949) 936-5000
Superintendent	Mr. Terry Walker
Email Address	TerryWalker@iusd.org
District Website Address	www.iusd.org

2022-23 School Overview

College Park Elementary School — located in the village of College Park — has an enrollment of approximately 565 students in grades K-6. Although the majority of students speak English, a large number of students come from a variety of ethnic backgrounds and speak many different languages. This international population, coupled with a number of students with special needs, creates a rich and diverse student body. At College Park, our diverse population is embraced, different cultural backgrounds are appreciated, and character development is encouraged. College Park students truly live and receive a global education. Our school has two special programs – the newcomer program for students with an English Language Proficiency Assessment for California (ELPAC) (Scoring at the Novice or Minimally Developed level on the ELPAC) and the Behavior and Social Learning Center (BSLC) for students across the district who struggle with behavior and social issues in the mainstream classroom. College Park’s mission is to promote academic excellence and instill in students the technical skills necessary to succeed in the world of tomorrow. As a result, we hope that students will become more innovative, develop a "Growth Mindset," develop the skills necessary to think and express themselves clearly and acquire the values necessary to act well, appreciate life and contribute to society.

The College Park staff, parents, and the surrounding community share a common vision. High-quality classroom instruction, students who are motivated to learn, a warm and nurturing learning environment, and a supportive and encouraging parent community help to make College Park a wonderful place to learn and work. It is our goal that all students will leave College Park able to read, write, and compute at high levels. By accomplishing this goal, students are afforded the opportunity to experience academic success at the next level and beyond. To ensure this success, the College Park staff is dedicated to using sound instructional practices and high-quality instructional materials. This includes the integration of technology and innovative practices throughout the curriculum. Our instructional programs combine explicit skill instruction, such as phonics and mathematics skills, and instruction that is embedded within a meaningful context. Students have the ability to go beyond the “basics,” through the use of technology, including Internet access, and a large inventory of instructional computer software, including a video-editing and production program, in addition to our new and growing innovation lab. Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) has been an area of focus for all of our students and staff for a few years. With the challenges from the COVID-19 pandemic and the trauma it has created for many of our families, we have placed a larger importance on SEL the past couple of years.

It is due to our common vision, highly skilled staff, “state of the art” instructional materials, and commitment to providing students with an environment that encourages respect, responsibility, integrity, and safety that we are able to be successful with our mission.

About this School

2021-22 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	59
Grade 1	78
Grade 2	61
Grade 3	81
Grade 4	99
Grade 5	104
Grade 6	91
Total Enrollment	573

2021-22 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	47.5
Male	52.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0
Asian	47.6
Black or African American	0.9
Filipino	4.0
Hispanic or Latino	11.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2
Two or More Races	9.8
White	20.9
English Learners	28.1
Foster Youth	0.0
Homeless	0.2
Migrant	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	17.3
Students with Disabilities	8.2



A. Conditions of Learning **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	18.50	96.87	1230.00	89.67	228366.10	83.12
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	0.80	0.06	4205.90	1.53
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	0.40	2.08	50.00	3.65	11216.70	4.08
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	39.10	2.85	12115.80	4.41
Unknown	0.20	1.04	51.70	3.77	18854.30	6.86
Total Teaching Positions	19.10	100.00	1371.80	100.00	274759.10	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	23.60	94.05	1300.20	90.20	234405.20	84.00
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	3.50	0.24	4853.00	1.74
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	42.50	2.95	12001.50	4.30
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	11.40	0.79	11953.10	4.28
Unknown	1.50	5.95	83.80	5.82	15831.90	5.67
Total Teaching Positions	25.10	100.00	1441.50	100.00	279044.80	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered “ineffective” under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22
Permits and Waivers	0.00	0.00
Misassignments	0.40	0.00
Vacant Positions	0.00	0.00
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	0.40	0.00

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered “out-of-field” under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	0.00
Local Assignment Options	0.00	0.00
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	0.00	0.00

2021-22 Class Assignments

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	2.40	0.00
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	0.00	0.00

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp>.

2022-23 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

Year and month in which the data were collected		October 2022	
Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption ?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in October, 2022. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
Mathematics	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in October, 2022. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%

Science	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in October, 2022. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in October, 2022. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
Foreign Language	N/A		N/A
Health	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in October, 2022. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
Visual and Performing Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook and/or instructional material.	Yes	0%
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	N/A		N/A

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

College Park Elementary School was completed in 1973 and went through a \$3,200,000 dollar renovation during the summers of 2017 and 2018. The new additions include: adding three collaboration spaces adjacent to classrooms, an enlarged Music Classroom/expansion of MPR stage, a new Innovation Lab, technology upgrades, addition of portable classes to replace the classrooms that were converted to collaboration spaces, two doors were added to each classroom and flexible furniture was added to the collaboration spaces..

The school plan has permanent and portable structures configured to provide traditional classroom settings plus a multipurpose room, a library/media center, a food service area, administrative offices, and extensive playground area. Two portables are used for childcare. The class size averages are within state and district guidelines. Class sizes in the Irvine Unified School District are averaged among the classes at each school in accordance with a specified staffing ratio.

Year and month of the most recent FIT report

9/7/2022

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			:
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			P 4: 4. FLOOR TILES ARE CRACKED AT SINK AREA P 8: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			P-11: 11. NO SKID PAINT IS PEELING ON RAMP
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External:	X			

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences				
---	--	--	--	--

Overall Facility Rate

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
X			

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).
4. **College and Career Ready**
The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

SARC Reporting in the 2020-2021 School Year Only

Where the most viable option, LEAs were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA and mathematics. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the LEA (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the LEA) due to the pandemic, LEAs were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021. The assessments were required to be:

- Aligned with CA CCSS for ELA and mathematics;
- Available to students in grades 3 through 8, and grade 11; and
- Uniformly administered across a grade, grade span, school, or district to all eligible students.

Options

Note that the CAAs could only be administered in-person following health and safety requirements. If it was not viable for the LEA to administer the CAAs in person with health and safety guidelines in place, the LEA was directed to not administer the tests. There were no other assessment options available for the CAAs. Schools administered the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics, other assessments that meet the SBE criteria, or a combination of both, and they could only choose one of the following:

- Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments;
- Other assessments meeting the SBE criteria; or
- Combination of Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments and other assessments.

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

The 2020-21 data cells have N/A values because these data are not comparable to other year data due to the COVID-19 pandemic during the 2020-21 school year. Where the CAASPP assessments in ELA and/or mathematics is not the most viable option, the LEAs were allowed to administer local assessments. Therefore, the 2020-21 data between school years for the school, district, state are not an accurate comparison. As such, it is inappropriate to compare results of the 2020-21 school year to other school years.

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	68	N/A	75	N/A	47
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	62	N/A	68	N/A	33

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	391	347	88.75	11.25	68.01
Female	190	168	88.42	11.58	69.05
Male	201	179	89.05	10.95	67.04
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian	195	154	78.97	21.03	77.27
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	15	15	100.00	0.00	100.00
Hispanic or Latino	38	36	94.74	5.26	30.56
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	60	60	100.00	0.00	68.33
White	77	76	98.70	1.30	64.47
English Learners	89	46	51.69	48.31	28.26
Foster Youth	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	18	18	100.00	0.00	61.11
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	65	64	98.46	1.54	60.94
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	35	35	100.00	0.00	31.43

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	391	390	99.74	0.26	61.79
Female	190	189	99.47	0.53	60.85
Male	201	201	100.00	0.00	62.69
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian	195	194	99.49	0.51	68.04
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	15	15	100.00	0.00	86.67
Hispanic or Latino	38	38	100.00	0.00	26.32
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	60	60	100.00	0.00	58.33
White	77	77	100.00	0.00	64.94
English Learners	89	89	100.00	0.00	40.45
Foster Youth	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	18	18	100.00	0.00	72.22
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	65	65	100.00	0.00	58.46
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	35	35	100.00	0.00	34.29

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

For any 2020–21 data cells with N/T values indicate that this school did not test students using the CAASPP for Science.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	NT	49.52	NT	61.37	28.5	29.47

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	107	105	98.13	1.87	49.52
Female	56	54	96.43	3.57	46.3
Male	51	51	100	0	52.94
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	59	58	98.31	1.69	50
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	18	18	100	0	50
White	15	15	100	0	66.67
English Learners	26	26	100	0	11.54
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	0	0	0	0	0
Military	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	18	17	94.44	5.56	41.18
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

2021-22 California Physical Fitness Test Results

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. Due to changes to the 2021-22 PFT administration, only participation results are required for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	97.17%	96.23%	97.17%	97.17%	97.17%

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

2022-23 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

College Park welcomes and values our volunteer parents. Without volunteers, many programs and activities that are offered at our school would not be possible. Our volunteers and Parent Teacher Association are involved heavily within our school. They are involved in assisting our teachers/school staff to help enrich students' classroom experiences and providing other family and school-related programs and special projects. The school and district continually seek business partnerships that can supplement school programs and provide curriculum support and computer equipment through donations. School funding, parent volunteer, and the Irvine Public Schools Foundation donations are utilized to purchase additional curriculum support materials and programs.

College Park Elementary School has implemented a visitor check-in system. This system allows us to track visitors or volunteers who visit our school and in turn, provide us with a safer environment for students and staff. Part of keeping students and faculty safe involves knowing who is in our building at all times and this process allows us to do that. When parents arrive on campus, they are asked to present a valid state-issued ID or passport. Their name, date of birth, and photo are compared against the county, state, and national databases of registered sex offenders. The information they provide is confidential and is not to be used for any other purpose than this safety screening. Once they have done this initial check with a front office staff member, returning visitors are able to use a self-service kiosk. Many parents volunteer for fundraising events such as our Dolphin Dash and our World's Fair run by our fabulous PTA who also hosts family nights and other great events that help build our community. Parents are involved in our School Site Council and on our PBIS/MTSS team. They help our teachers by offering input into our school's structures which identify students in need of academic, behavioral, and social-emotional intervention. The pandemic has created challenges for volunteers on campus the last year but we are making strides to allow parents on campus to support our students and teachers in the upcoming weeks.

2021-22 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	637	623	71	11.4
Female	302	296	35	11.8
Male	335	327	36	11.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0.0
Asian	321	315	11	3.5
Black or African American	8	7	3	42.9
Filipino	23	23	0	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	69	68	23	33.8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	1	0	0.0
Two or More Races	59	57	3	5.3
White	129	125	31	24.8
English Learners	204	198	11	5.6
Foster Youth	1	1	0	0.0
Homeless	2	2	1	50.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	114	112	23	20.5
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	65	63	16	25.4

C. Engagement

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The 2019-20 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to other year data because the 2019-20 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of suspensions and expulsions in the 2019-20 school year compared to other school years.

Subject	School 2019-20	District 2019-20	State 2019-20
Suspensions	0.51	0.73	2.45
Expulsions	0.00	0.01	0.05

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through June, each full school year respectively. Data collected during the 2020-21 school year may not be comparable to earlier years of this collection due to differences in learning mode instruction in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
Suspensions	1.22	1.10	0.14	0.86	0.20	3.17
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.07

2021-22 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	1.10	0.00
Female	0.33	0.00
Male	1.79	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.62	0.00
Black or African American	0.00	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	2.90	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00
White	0.78	0.00
English Learners	0.98	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	5.26	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	7.69	0.00

2022-23 School Safety Plan

College Park Elementary School has a Comprehensive School Safety Plan that is reviewed each year and has been recently approved by our district. We conduct a yearly disaster drill to test our plan's effectiveness and to ready staff for a catastrophic event. This plan reviews and assesses the school status of a safe and orderly environment, safe ingress and egress of students and adults, school rules and procedures, policies relating to suspension and expulsion, required teacher notification of student disciplinary and safety concerns, and the current status of school crime and disaster procedures. In addition to practicing monthly disaster drills and housing extensive emergency supplies and equipment, the school is equipped with food and water on hand for each student. We conducted an emergency drill and practiced our safety protocols on October 20th this year with our staff.

D. Other SARC Information Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2019-20 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2019-20 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	17	3	1	
1	31		2	
2	30		3	
3	39		2	1
4	26	2	4	
5	30		6	
6	31		6	
Other	22	4	4	1

2020-21 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	12	4		
1	15	4		
2	9	6	1	
3	13	4	3	
4	9	7	1	
5	12	4	1	
6	13	4	1	
Other	12	6		

2021-22 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	10	6		
1	22		3	
2	28		2	
3	25	1	2	1
4	24	1	3	
5	29		3	
6	28		3	
Other	10	5		

2021-22 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	0

2021-22 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	1.0
Social Worker	
Nurse	0.2
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.8
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	3.6

2020-21 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$7329	\$111	\$7218	\$84999
District	N/A	N/A	5796	\$86,958
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	21.9	-2.3
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,594	\$85,368
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	9.0	-0.4

2021-22 Types of Services Funded

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) is the method of allocating funds to school districts. The LCFF model has three sources of state funding with local discretion to determine how best to spend those funds in the service of students. All districts receive a BASE of per-student funding that varies slightly depending on grade level. All districts also receive SUPPLEMENTAL funding. IUSD has used BASE and SUPPLEMENTAL funding to support the following programs:

Site Programs and Services: 1) Support professional learning and teacher coaching through induction program, content TOSAs and teacher mentor program, 2) Provide summer school and summer athletic programs, 3) Allocating site funding and staffing to support student achievement through support of Professional Learning Communities (PLC) and site PLC coaches, 4) Elementary P.E. paraprofessionals, 5) Support for English language learners, 6) Elementary science, music, and art programs, 7) Purchase textbooks and instructional materials, 8) Develop a technology rich learning environment including: Technology matching programs, Technology Maintenance fund and site technology support staff, 9) Maintain previously implemented class-size reduction, 10) Additional secondary sections to reduce class size, 11) Maintain positive behavioral intervention and support program, 12) Maintain site staffing with full-time assistant principals, 13) 450:1 counseling ratio, support for large elementary schools and elementary classroom aides, 14) Support interventions and supplemental programs impacting English learners, low income and foster youth, 15) Continue to build Career-Technical Education pathways and blended on-line learning opportunities for students, 16) Implementing mental health and wellness programs and hire district and site based staff including support for the Irvine Family Resource Center.

Finally, CONCENTRATION grant funding equal to 50 percent of the base is provided to districts in which English-learners, low-income students and foster youth exceed 55 percent of the total enrollment. Irvine Unified School District is not eligible for concentration funding because its enrollment does not exceed the 55 percent threshold.

2020-21 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$50,071	\$51,081
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$89,426	\$77,514
Highest Teacher Salary	\$115,594	\$105,764
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$144,393	\$133,421
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$152,197	\$138,594
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$174,854	\$153,392
Superintendent Salary	\$335,993	\$298,377
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	32%	32%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

Professional Development

The College Park School Site Council updates our school improvement plan annually, establishing goals for training and curriculum improvement that support the school, district, and state goals. The School Site Council also collaborates on the use of school site funds to support curriculum and staff development activities related to our school plan.

College Park Elementary School and the Irvine Unified School District are committed to continuous improvement, including professional improvement. We continue to develop strategies and practices that differentiate instruction to better meet student needs through the Professional Learning Communities model. Teachers unpack the Common Core Standards, create/ modify assessments to meet those standards, and use data to drive instruction accordingly. Professional development topics are chosen based on data and instructional practice need (ie: enrichment, working with students with specific learning needs, such as speech and language deficits, autism, social-emotional concerns, etc.). In many cases, teachers are given opportunities to choose specific sessions that match teacher needs and interests during the Professional Development designated time. In addition to the two full days of staff development and six two-hour staff development sessions, teacher training is provided monthly at staff meetings through district-sponsored trainings. Also, attendance by individual teachers or groups of teachers at educational conferences provided outside the Irvine Unified School District is encouraged.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	4	9	9