# **Bonita Canyon Elementary School**

# 2021 School Accountability Report Card



# General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

# SARC Overview



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/">www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/</a>

For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/">www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/</a>

For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**



DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <a href="mailto:dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### California School Dashboard



The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) <a href="www.caschooldashboard.org/">www.caschooldashboard.org/</a> reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

2021-22 School Contact Information						
School Name	School Name Bonita Canyon Elementary School					
Street	Sundance Drive					
City, State, Zip	rvine, CA 92603					
Phone Number	949) 936-5450					
Principal	Corey Pace					
Email Address	coreypace@iusd.org					
School Website	Mebsite https://bonitacanyon.iusd.org/					
County-District-School (CDS) Code	30-73650-6097042					

2021-22 District Contact Information						
District Name	Irvine Unified School District					
Phone Number	(949) 936-5000					
Superintendent	Terry Walker					
Email Address	Address TerryWalker@iusd.org					
District Website Address	District Website Address www.iusd.org					

#### 2021-22 School Overview

Mission/Vision

"Bonita Canyon is an inclusive community that fosters risk-taking, collaboration, and creativity to create lifelong learners. Together, we empower our students to think critically about the world and be compassionate citizens."

At Bonita Canyon Elementary School we strive to enable all students to become contributing members of society empowered with the skills, knowledge, and values necessary to meet the challenges of a changing world. With a team of highly qualified staff, we provide the highest quality educational experience we can envision. Our teachers model and encourage intellectual risk-taking to support students problem solving skills in all content areas. We were named a California Distinguished School in 1989, 2006, and again in 2010.

Located in the Turtle Rock area of Irvine, the school opened its doors in 1977. The physical layout of the school permits teaming and interaction and the playground offers wonderful views of the surrounding areas. We received state renovation funds and underwent major renovation during summer 2009. We again underwent renovation in the summer of 2017 and 2020 as a part of the Measure E Bond. We now have a state of the art MPR that serves as the hub for all of our school activities,

As a neighborhood school, we serve students in our immediate community and those who are here by choice from around IUSD. We have a very high percentage of parents in professional occupations and the community is stable with a low mobility rate. Education is a considered a very high priority in our community.

Parent involvement contributes significantly to our success. Teachers, students and parents work closely together in an atmosphere of mutual respect and cooperation. Our students have supportive, caring parents who value education, and dedicated, committed teachers who provide continuous high quality instruction for all students. The Parent Teacher Association (PTA) provides outstanding volunteer and financial support for many school programs. The strong partnership between parents and school staff, coupled with our shared commitment to excellence, creates an exceptional learning environment that can be seen and felt throughout Bonita Canyon School.

#### **About this School**

### 2020-21 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	14
Grade 1	26
Grade 2	43
Grade 3	31
Grade 4	33
Grade 5	39
Grade 6	31
Total Enrollment	217

# 2020-21 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Asian	24
Black or African American	0.5
Filipino	1.4
Hispanic or Latino	7.8
Two or More Races	13.4
White	52.5
English Learners	14.7
Foster Youth	0.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	9.2
Students with Disabilities	14.3

# A. Conditions of Learning

# **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp">https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp</a>

# 2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	8.9	90.0	1230.0	89.7	228366.1	83.1
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.1	4205.9	1.5
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)	1.0	10.0	50.0	3.6	11216.7	4.1
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of- Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)	0.0	0.0	39.1	2.9	12115.8	4.4
Unknown	0.0	0.0	51.7	3.8	18854.3	6.9
Total Teaching Positions	9.9	100.0	1371.8	100.0	274759.1	100.0

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned to based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

# 2020-21 Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered "ineffective" under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21
Permits and Waivers	0.0
Misassignments	1.0
Vacant Positions	0.0
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	1.0

### 2020-21 Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered "out-of-field" under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.0
Local Assignment Options	0.0
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	0.0

# 2020-21 Class Assignments

Indicator	2020-21
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	5.0
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	0.0

# 2021-22 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

We are fortunate to have Anne Marquand as our media specialist and she manages our massive library of student materials very well. We have an incredibly organized program for book distribution and books that supplement the curriculum and and are here to support their pleasure reading habits.

Year and month in which the data were collected

September 2021

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption ?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in October, 2020. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
Mathematics	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in October, 2020. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
Science	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in October, 2020. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in October, 2020. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.	Yes	0%
Foreign Language	N/A		0%
Health	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in October, 2020. All core textbooks and instructional materials are state-adopted.		0%
Visual and Performing Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook and/or instructional material.	Yes	0%
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	N/A		0%

### **School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements**

Bonita Canyon School was built in 1977. We received state renovation funds and underwent major renovation during summer 2009. Our site and grounds are clean and well maintained. In addition to the original buildings, the school has eleven portable (re-locatable) classrooms. Childcare is offered on site and is housed in two additional re-locatables near the playground. We have maximized our use of shared areas in the library, computer lab, stage, and MPR to meet the needs of our student population.

Although our school was built before the use of computers was commonplace in schools, with help from our generous community and PTA, as well as our recent modernization (Measure E Funds 2017), we have altered our infrastructure to accommodate 1:1 devices (Tablets and Chromebooks), our library/Innovation Lab/Green Screen Lab, and all classrooms with state-of-the-art wireless internet access. (Upgrade complete in 2017-18) In 2020, our new MPR was completed with Measure E Bond money and it is spectacular.

#### Year and month of the most recent FIT report

12/1/2021

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Χ			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			P4: 4. CEILING TILE IS CRACKED P7: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES P8: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

#### **Overall Facility Rate**

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
X			

### **B. Pupil Outcomes**

#### **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

#### **Statewide Assessments**

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

- 1. Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 2. Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 3. California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).

#### SARC Reporting in the 2020-2021 School Year Only

Where the most viable option, LEAs were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA and mathematics. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the LEA (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the LEA) due to the pandemic, LEAs were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021. The assessments were required to be:

- Aligned with CA CCSS for ELA and mathematics;
- Available to students in grades 3 through 8, and grade 11; and
- Uniformly administered across a grade, grade span, school, or district to all eligible students.

#### **Options**

Note that the CAAs could only be administered in-person following health and safety requirements. If it was not viable for the LEA to administer the CAAs in person with health and safety guidelines in place, the LEA was directed to not administer the tests. There were no other assessment options available for the CAAs. Schools administered the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics, other assessments that meet the SBE criteria, or a combination of both, and they could only choose one of the following:

- Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments;
- Other assessments meeting the SBE criteria; or
- Combination of Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments and other assessments.

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

The 2019-2020 data cells with N/A values indicate that the 2019-2020 data are not available due to the COVID-19 pandemic and resulting summative test suspension. The Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year.

The 2020-2021 data cells have N/A values because these data are not comparable to other year data due to the COVID-19 pandemic during the 2020-2021 school year. Where the CAASPP assessments in ELA and/or mathematics is not the most viable option, the LEAs were allowed to administer local assessments. Therefore, the 2020-2021 data between school years for the school, district, state are not an accurate comparison. As such, it is inappropriate to compare results of the 2020-2021 school year to other school years.

Subject	School 2019-20	School 2020-21	District 2019-20	District 2020-21	State 2019-20	State 2020-21
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

### 2020-21 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment. The CDE will populate this table for schools in cases where the school administered the CAASPP assessment. In cases where the school administered a local assessment instead of CAASPP, the CDE will populate this table with "NT" values, meaning this school did not test students using the CAASPP. See the local assessment(s) table for more information.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	156	NT	NT	NT	NT
Female	74	NT	NT	NT	NT
Male	82	NT	NT	NT	NT
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	41	NT	NT	NT	NT
Black or African American		NT	NT	NT	NT
Filipino		NT	NT	NT	NT
Hispanic or Latino	15	NT	NT	NT	NT
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	21	NT	NT	NT	NT
White	77	NT	NT	NT	NT
English Learners	21	NT	NT	NT	NT
Foster Youth		NT	NT	NT	NT
Homeless	0	0	0	0	0
Military		NT	NT	NT	NT
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	13	NT	NT	NT	NT
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	21	NT	NT	NT	NT

#### 2020-21 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment. The CDE will populate this table for schools in cases where the school administered the CAASPP assessment. In cases where the school administered a local assessment instead of CAASPP, the CDE will populate this table with "NT" values, meaning this school did not test students using the CAASPP. See the local assessment(s) table for more information.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	156	NT	NT	NT	NT
Female	74	NT	NT	NT	NT
Male	82	NT	NT	NT	NT
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	41	NT	NT	NT	NT
Black or African American		NT	NT	NT	NT
Filipino		NT	NT	NT	NT
Hispanic or Latino	15	NT	NT	NT	NT
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	21	NT	NT	NT	NT
White	77	NT	NT	NT	NT
English Learners	21	NT	NT	NT	NT
Foster Youth		NT	NT	NT	NT
Homeless	0	0	0	0	0
Military		NT	NT	NT	NT
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	13	NT	NT	NT	NT
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	21	NT	NT	NT	NT

#### 2020-21 Local Assessment Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays Local Assessment test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven. LEAs/schools will populate this table for schools in cases where the school administered a local assessment. In cases where the school administered the CAASPP assessment, LEAs/schools will populate this table with "N/A" values in all cells, meaning this table is Not Applicable for this school.

STAR Renaissance Student Groups	STAR Renaissanc e Total Enrollment	STAR Renaissanc e Number Tested	STAR Renaissanc e Percent Tested	STAR Renaissanc e Percent Not Tested	STAR Renaissanc e Percent At or Above Grade Level
All Students	155	152	98.06	1.94	82.24
Female	74	73	98.65	1.35	79.45
Male	81	79	97.53	2.47	84.81
American Indian or Alaska Native					

Asian	41	40	97.56	2.44	90
Black or African American					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	15	15	100	0	53.33
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
Two or More Races	20	18	90	10	88.89
White	77	76	98.7	1.3	81.58
English Learners	21	21	100	0	52.38
Foster Youth					
Homeless					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	13	13	100	0	76.92
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					
Students with Disabilities	24	20	83.33	16.67	65
*At or above the grade-level standard in the context of	the local asses	ssment adminis	tered.		

# 2020-21 Local Assessment Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays Local Assessment test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven. LEAs/schools will populate this table for schools in cases where the school administered a local assessment. In cases where the school administered the CAASPP assessment, LEAs/schools will populate this table with "N/A" values in all cells, meaning this table is Not Applicable for this school.

STAR Renaissance Student Groups	STAR Renaissanc e Total Enrollment	STAR Renaissanc e Number Tested	STAR Renaissanc e Percent Tested	STAR Renaissanc e Percent Not Tested	STAR Renaissanc e Percent At or Above Grade Level
All Students	155	152	98.06	1.94	86.18
Female	74	73	98.65	1.35	83.56
Male	81	79	97.53	2.47	88.61
Asian	41	40	97.56	2.44	100
Hispanic or Latino	15	15	100	0	60
Two or More Races	20	18	90	10	88.89
White	77	76	98.7	1.3	84.21
English Learners	21	21	100	0	66.67
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	13	13	100	0	76.92
Students with Disabilities	24	20	83.33	16.67	65

<sup>\*</sup>At or above the grade-level standard in the context of the local assessment administered.

#### **CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students**

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

The 2019-2020 data cells with N/A values indicate that the 2019-2020 data are not available due to the COVID-19 pandemic and resulting summative testing suspension. The Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year.

For any 2020-2021 data cells with N/T values indicate that this school did not test students using the CAASPP Science.

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	2019-20	2020-21	2019-20	2020-21	2019-20	2020-21
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	N/A	NT	N/A	NT	N/A	28.72

# 2020-21 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. For any data cells with N/T values indicate that this school did not test students using the CAASPP Science.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	42	NT	NT	NT	NT
Female	19	NT	NT		
Male	23	NT	NT		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	11	NT	NT	NT	NT
Black or African American		NT	NT	NT	NT
Filipino	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic or Latino		NT	NT	NT	NT
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races		NT	NT	NT	NT
White	22	NT	NT	NT	NT
English Learners		NT	NT	NT	NT
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	0	0	0	0	0
Military		NT	NT	NT	NT
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0	0	0	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities		NT	NT	NT	NT

### **B. Pupil Outcomes**

# **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

### 2020-21 California Physical Fitness Test Results

Due to the COVID-19 crisis, the Physical Fitness Test was suspended during the 2020-2021 school year and therefore no data are reported and each cell in this table is populated with "N/A."

Grade Level	Percentage of Students Meeting Four of Six Fitness Standards		Percentage of Students Meeting Six of Six Fitness Standards
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 9	N/A	N/A	N/A

### C. Engagement

# **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

### 2021-22 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

We value all parent/adult participation and know it is the key ingredient for creating successful students and schools. There are numerous opportunities for parent volunteers at Bonita Canyon and we encourage parents to become involved in all aspects of their child's education. Hundreds of parents volunteer in our classrooms, participate in PTA, serve on School Site Council, help support art instruction through Art Masters, and help in many other ways to enrich student programs and the curriculum. We enjoy strong parent support at Bonita Canyon and welcome new parents to join us as partners in their child's education.

The parent community significantly augments school programs and services through fundraising efforts. Parents also support community service efforts and become leaders in legislative action issues affecting education.

# 2020-21 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	278	264	13	4.9
Female	132	124	5	4.0
Male	146	140	8	5.7
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0.0
Asian	76	68	3	4.4
Black or African American	2	2	1	50.0
Filipino	4	4	0	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	25	25	3	12.0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.0
Two or More Races	32	32	2	6.3
White	138	132	4	3.0
English Learners	52	46	2	4.3
Foster Youth	3	3	0	0.0
Homeless	0	0	0	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	32	31	5	16.1
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	50	49	5	10.2

# C. Engagement

# **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

#### Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through June, each full school year respectively. Data collected during the 2020-21 school year may not be comparable to earlier years of this collection due to differences in learning mode instruction in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Subject	School 2018-19	School 2020-21	District 2018-19	District 2020-21	State 2018-19	State 2020-21
Suspensions	0.20	0.00	1.01	0.14	3.47	0.20
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.08	0.00

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The 2019-2020 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to other year data because the 2019-2020 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of suspensions and expulsions in the 2019-2020 school year compared to other school years.

Subject	School 2019-20	District 2019-20	State 2019-20
Suspensions	0.20	0.73	2.45
Expulsions	0.00	0.01	0.05

### 2020-21 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	0.00	0.00
Female	0.00	0.00
Male	0.00	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.00	0.00
Black or African American	0.00	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	0.00	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00
White	0.00	0.00
English Learners	0.00	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities		

#### 2021-22 School Safety Plan

Our students show positive problem solving skills on the playground and in the classroom. Our Bonita Be's (be respectful, be responsible, be safe, be a friend and be your best) are reviewed with students and consistently referred to by both teachers and instructional aid staff. Our campus supervision is based on district allocations and many of our staff members have taken specific training in conflict resolution and cooperative discipline. We will suspend working on implementing a student council driven anti-bullying program that is focused are rewarding those who stop bullying and foster a culture of inclusion. The program that began last year is still in effect, but we do not have a student council this year so they will be back at it next year.

Bonita Canyon has an extensive plan for emergency procedures. The plan is revised annually and often improved based on what we learn from emergency drills. Biannually we practice Reunification Drills and Full Disaster Drills where our parents check out students as they would during an actual emergency. Our supplies are renewed with assistance from our PTA. We participate in district-wide emergency drills and many staff members are trained in CPR and First Aid.

We have developed a comprehensive Safe School Plan, which is evaluated yearly and amended, as needed, through our School Safety Committee. We are proud to say there are few, if any, reportable crimes at Bonita Canyon.

We comply with all county and IUSD COVID-19 safety guidelines. We feel great about we as a district have responded to the pandemic.

#### D. Other SARC Information

# Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

#### 2018-19 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2018-19 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multigrade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	17	2	2	
1	31		1	
2	23	1	3	
3	31		2	
4	29		1	
5	32		3	
6	30		3	

### 2019-20 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2019-20 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	12	4		
1	46		1	1
2	29		2	
3	33		2	1
4	31		4	
5	28		4	
6	35			4
Other	7	3		

### 2020-21 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	6	2		
1	22		1	
2	18	2	1	
3	15	3		
4	15	3		
5	13	5		
6	16	3		
Other	19	2	2	

# 2020-21 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	

# 2020-21 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0
Psychologist	0
Social Worker	0
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0
Other	0.8

### 2019-20 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2019-20 expenditures per pupil and average teach salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$6,511	\$459	\$6,052	\$90,141
District	N/A	N/A	\$6053	\$86,745
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	0.0	3.8
State			\$8,444	\$84,665
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-33.0	6.3

#### 2020-21 Types of Services Funded

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) has replaced California's previous method of allocating funds to school districts. In place of more than 40 specific funding categories, the LCFF model has established three sources of state funding with increased local discretion to determine how best to spend those funds in the service of students. All districts receive a BASE of per-student funding that varies slightly depending on grade level. All districts also receive SUPPLEMENTAL funding. IUSD has used BASE and SUPPLEMENTAL funding to support the following programs:

Site Programs and Services: 1) Support professional learning and teacher coaching through induction program, content TOSAs and teacher mentor program, 2) provide summer school and summer athletic programs, 3) Allocating site funding and staffing to support student achievement through support of Professional Learning Communities (PLC) and site PLC coaches, 4) Elementary P.E. paraprofessionals, 5) Support for English language learners, 6) Elementary science, music, and art programs, 7) Purchase textbooks and instructional materials, 8) Develop a technology rich learning environment including; Technology matching programs, Technology Maintenance fund and site technology support staff, 9) Maintain previously implemented class-size reduction, 10) Additional secondary sections to reduce class size, 11) Middle school zero period program, 12) Maintain positive behavioral intervention and support program, 13) Maintain site staffing with full-time assistant principals, 14) 450:1 counseling ratio, support for large elementary schools and elementary classroom aides, 15) Support interventions and supplemental programs impacting English learners, low income and foster youth, 16) Continue to build Career-Technical Education pathways and blended 0n-line learning opportunities for students, 17) Develop mental health and wellness programs and hire district and site based staff including support for the Irvine Family Resource Center.

Finally, CONCENTRATION grant funding equal to 50 percent of the base is provided to districts in which English-learners, low-income students and foster youth exceed 55 percent of the total enrollment. Irvine Unified School District is not eligible for concentration funding because its enrollment does not exceed the 55 percent threshold.

#### 2019-20 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2019-20 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</a>.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$50,071	\$50,897
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$89,426	\$78,461
Highest Teacher Salary	\$115,594	\$104,322
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$141,894	\$131,863
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$153,295	\$137,086
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$174,854	\$151,143
Superintendent Salary	\$335,993	\$297,037
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	33%	32%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

#### **Professional Development**

We allocate the equivalent of six days per year (plus two district days) for staff development and calendar this time with minimum student impact. Official staff development meetings were scheduled as follows:

- 2014-15 Staff Development (a day prior to the start of school, a non-student day in October and a non-student day in February) was focus on continued implementation of the Common Core, writing instruction as well increased technology integration into the classroom for both student use and parent communication
- 2015-16 Our staff development was concentrating on implementing more technology and using programs like Google Classroom to support our students, staff and community. We also focused on Next Generation Science Standards and Thinking Maps throughout the remainder of our Professional Development.
- 2016-17 We spent our six staff development sessions working on Professional Learning Communities and an even deeper implementation of Google Classroom as a communication tool for our school.
- 2017-18 Our six Staff Development Sessions were spent focusing specifically on PLC (Professional Learning Communities) common assessment development, PBIS growth and action and reading HEART by Timothy Kanold.
- 2018-19 This year was dedicated to solidifying our practices in PLC.
- 2019-20 We spent the professional time before going to EDL on digging in to the Essential Standards and how this transition would be implemented by our teaching staff. We had curriculum experts for our district office come and support this work as well.
- 2020-21 This year's PD is all around PLCs and how they look and work in our COVID year
- 2021-22 Our focus this year is getting back to work with our school on campus. Our MTSS team will be spearheading most of our PDs in an effort to support all students coming back from the pandemic and readying them for their next school year academically and emotionally.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	8	6	

# **Irvine Unified School District**

2020-21 Local Accountability Report Card (LARC) Addendum

# Local Accountability Report Card (LARC) Addendum

2020-21 Local Accountability Report Card (LARC) Addendum Overview



On July 14, 2021, the California State Board of Education (SBE) determined that the California Department of Education (CDE) will use the SARC as the mechanism to conduct a one-time data collection of the LEA-level aggregate test results of all school's local assessments administered during the 2020–2021 school year in order to meet the federal Every Students Succeeds Act (ESSA) reporting requirement for the Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Cards (LARCs).

Each local educational agency (LEA) is responsible for preparing and posting their annual LARC in accordance with the federal ESSA. As a courtesy, the CDE prepares and posts the LARCs on behalf of all LEAs.

Only for the 2020–2021 school year and the 2020–2021 LARCs, LEAs are required to report their aggregate local assessments test results at the LEA-level to the CDE by populating the tables below via the SARC. These data will be used to meet the LEAs' federal requirement for their LARCs. Note that it is the responsibility of the school and LEA to ensure that all student privacy and suppression rules are in place when reporting data in Tables 3 and 4 in the Addendum, as applicable.

The tables below are not part of the SBE approved 2020–2021 SARC template but rather are the mechanism by which these required data will be collected from LEAs.

For purposes of the LARC and the following tables, an LEA is defined as a school district, a county office of education, or a direct funded charter school.

2021-22 District Contact Information				
District Name	Irvine Unified School District			
Phone Number	(949) 936-5000			
Superintendent	Terry Walker			
Email Address	TerryWalker@iusd.org			
District Website Address	www.iusd.org			

### 2020-21 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment. The CDE will populate this table for schools in cases where the school administered the CAASPP assessment. In cases where the school administered a local assessment instead of CAASPP, the CDE will populate this table with "NT" values, meaning this school did not test students using the CAASPP. See the local assessment(s) table for more information.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	19696	2272	11.54	88.46	79.66
Female	9419	1101	11.69	88.31	84.26
Male	10270	1169	11.38	88.62	75.30
American Indian or Alaska Native	27	2		92.59	
Asian	9484	1167	12.30	87.70	86.63
Black or African American	404	36	8.91	91.09	58.33
Filipino	369	49	13.28	86.72	81.63
Hispanic or Latino	2371	239	10.08	89.92	62.71
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	52	5	9.62	90.38	
Two or More Races	2085	189	9.06	90.94	82.54
White	4904	585	11.93	88.07	72.90
English Learners	2772	111	4.00	96.00	22.52
Foster Youth	34	1	2.94	97.06	
Homeless	42	4	9.52	90.48	
Military	222	36	16.22	83.78	76.47
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3773	405	10.73	89.27	65.59
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	1885	110	5.84	94.16	33.33

#### 2020-21 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment. The CDE will populate this table for schools in cases where the school administered the CAASPP assessment. In cases where the school administered a local assessment instead of CAASPP, the CDE will populate this table with "NT" values, meaning this school did not test students using the CAASPP. See the local assessment(s) table for more information.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	19696	2221	11.28	88.72	74.36
Female	9419	1070	11.36	88.64	74.91
Male	10270	1149	11.19	88.81	73.80
American Indian or Alaska Native	27	2		92.59	
Asian	9484	1145	12.07	87.93	87.76
Black or African American	404	35	8.66	91.34	40.00
Filipino	369	49	13.28	86.72	64.58
Hispanic or Latino	2371	218	9.19	90.81	45.41
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	52	3	5.77	94.23	
Two or More Races	2085	187	8.97	91.03	73.80
White	4904	582	11.87		61.86
English Learners	2772	107	3.86	96.14	38.68
Foster Youth	34	1	2.94	97.06	
Homeless	42	6	14.29	85.71	
Military	222	34	15.32	84.68	76.47
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3773	389	10.31	89.69	56.81
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	1885	107	5.68	94.32	18.69

#### 2020-21 Local Assessment Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays Local Assessment test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven. LEAs/schools will populate this table for schools in cases where the school administered a local assessment. In cases where the school administered the CAASPP assessment, LEAs/schools will populate this table with "N/A" values in all cells, meaning this table is Not Applicable for this school.

\*At or above the grade-level standard in the context of the local assessment administered.

#### 2020-21 Local Assessment Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays Local Assessment test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven. LEAs/schools will populate this table for schools in cases where the school administered a local assessment. In cases where the school administered the CAASPP assessment, LEAs/schools will populate this table with "N/A" values in all cells, meaning this table is Not Applicable for this school.

\*At or above the grade-level standard in the context of the local assessment administered.