



IRVINE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

100 Nightmist
Irvine, CA 92618

ADDENDUM NO. 3

**Project: Clean Energy Jobs Act Proposition 39
Lighting Retrofit Services at Nine District Sites
Bid Package: RFQ/RFP No. 16/17-01MO**

Date: February 20, 2017

To any and all concerned parties:

This Addendum forms a part of the above-referenced request for qualifications and proposals (RFQ/RFP) and modifies the RFQ/RFP. This addendum shall supersede all previously issued specifications, addenda and any other written or verbal direction. All other provisions in the RFQ/RFP shall remain unchanged.

CHANGES TO THE BID:

Item No. 1.1

Specification Reference: Addendum No. 3
Description: Responses to RFI/Questions

Question #1:

Please clarify if forms will be inclusive or exclusive of the 25 double sided pages restriction.

Response #1:

The District required forms found in Attachment B required to submit with your proposal **are not** considered in the twenty-five (25), bound, double-side page limit. Resumes, forms, and pictures **will be** counted towards the twenty-five (25), bound, double-side page limit.

Question #2:

Per Page 10. Of RFQ/RFP No. 16/17-01 MO "Submittal Requirements" item #2. Does the school district expect to provide the contractor reflected ceiling plans of each school in CAD to accommodate the requirement to provide line drawings indicating where the controls are to be installed?

Response #2:

The District will not provide reflected ceiling plans of each school in CAD. It is the expectation of the District, the contractor will provide the proposed controls method and control plan (line diagram) for each room/area for all lighting fixtures.

Question #3:

For Title 24 2016 there are a number of changes as they relate to the Multi-Level Control requirements. In order to anticipate the consequences of those changes does the school district expect to provide contractors the existing switching in each room of the existing luminaires?

Response #3:

Over and above attachment C- Detailed lighting audit, which identifies which spaces require occupancy sensors, the District will not provide contractors the existing switching in each room.

Question #4:

In our review of the audit that was attached to the above RFQ/RFP we noted that most proposed ECM's for Luminaires will require a dimming drivers. Does the school district expect that the ECM was to be used for meeting Title 24 Part 6 requirements for Multi-Level Control? If so, where is your specification for which the ECM is to be controlled to meet this requirement? This requirement did change for the 2016 Title 24 which is effective for 2017. Accordingly, which code do you expect to comply with 2013 or 2016?

Response #4:

The District intends to comply with the 2016 Title 24 energy efficiency building code. The District intends to satisfy 2016 Title 24 requirements using the Rated Power Reduction Method (Section 141.0(b)2lii), where lighting control requirements include area controls and shut-off controls. Multi-level controls are not required in the 2016 Title 24 energy efficiency code when using the Rated Power Reduction Method for compliance.

Question #5:

Please clarify the warranty the District is expected to require for the LED kits and fixtures. Does the district expect these warranties to include Labor?

Response #5:

The warranty period for LED retrofit kits, fixtures, and lamps are:

1. Warranty Period for LED retrofit kits and fixtures: Five years from date of Substantial Completion. Full warranty shall apply to all components of the retrofit kit, including LED board, lamp, and nonelectrical components.
2. Warranty for T8 LED Lamps (replacement only): Manufacturer's standard form, made out to Owner and signed by lamp manufacturer agreeing to replace lamps that fail in materials or workmanship, f.o.b. the nearest shipping point to Project site. Five years from date of Substantial Completion.

The warranty period must provide one year on defective installation, including labor, and anything thereafter needs to be covered by the District.

Question #6:

Section 8.2 (page 7) of the RFP requires the proposer to complete all required forms and submit them with the proposal. However, many of the forms listed in 8.2 would not be possible to submit with an RFP (for example, performance and payment bonds, which require a signed contract), and many of the certificates are typically submitted with the signed contract, after selection, not with an RFP response, and elsewhere in the documents it states that many of these forms would need to be submitted within xx number of days after notification of selection. Can you please clarify which forms are required to be signed and submitted with the proposal?

Response #6:

All the forms found in attachment B are required to be reviewed prior to submission of bids, the contractor awarded the project will be required to sign and submit all forms. The forms required to submit with the bid are:

1. Information Required of Bidder
2. Bid Bond
3. Proposal Form
4. Designation of Subcontractors
5. Non-collusion Declaration

Question #7:

Do dividers count in the 25-page restriction?

Response #7:

No, dividers **are not** counted in the twenty-five (25), bound, double-side page limit.

Question #8:

Include letters of reference or testimonials, if available. Firm should limit letters of reference or testimonials to no more than five (5).

Can we use PPQ's for this section?

Response #8:

No, past performance questionnaire's will not be accepted as substitutions for letters of reference or testimonials.

Question #9:

Are you looking for specific references that can speak to "retrofitting" type of work or can it be generalized to our electrical work?

Response #9:

Submit letters of reference that speak to your experience that would best portray your companies' ability to successfully complete this public school Prop 39 energy efficiency lighting retrofit project.

Question #10:

Do we need to be pre-qualified for bidding this project?

Response #10:

No, you do not need to be pre-qualified.

END OF DOCUMENT