

# School Accountability Report Card Reported for School Year 2007-08 Published During 2008-09

## 2007-08 School Accountability Report Card Report (SARC)

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available at the [SARC](#) Web site. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

### I. Data and Access

#### DataQuest

[DataQuest](#) is an online data tool that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g. Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

#### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

### II. About This School

#### Contact Information (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides the schools contact information.

School		District	
School Name	Meadow Park	District Name	Irvine Unified
Street	50 Blue Lake South	Phone Number	949-936-5000
City, State, Zip	Irvine , CA 92614-5472	Web Site	<a href="http://www.iusd.org">www.iusd.org</a>
Phone Number	949-936-5900	Superintendent	Gwen Gross
Principal	Lisa Livernois	E-mail Address	<a href="mailto:ggross@iusd.org">ggross@iusd.org</a>
E-mail Address	<a href="mailto:lliverno@iusd.org">lliverno@iusd.org</a>	CDS Code	30-73650-6106850

#### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2007-08)

This section provides information about the school's goals and programs.

Meadow Park Elementary School, located in the village of Woodbridge, enrolled 617 students in grades K-6. In addition, the Orange County Department of Education has special education classes on campus that serves 16 special education students who live within the boundaries of Irvine Unified School District and Newport-Mesa Unified School District.

Our dedicated, highly experienced, and talented staff has made outstanding contributions again this year

providing students with the highest quality educational experiences and an enriched learning environment. We are a passionate group of professionals who are dedicated to meeting the needs of each learner, believe in collaboration, and continue to seek and learn about current research and strategies to keep us on the cutting edge of educational practices. We integrate regular education and special education with a collaborative model in grades K-6. This collaboration and commitment to excellence is a prime reason why Meadow Park School is a California Distinguished School and a National Blue Ribbon School. Certainly, we could not accomplish our mission without the active support and involvement of our parent community. We value our strong partnerships with our truly exceptional parent community. Together, we share our commitment to providing the best elementary school program we can envision in a supportive, safe to risk environment for all learners. The consistent financial support and the many hours of notable volunteer service that you provide to us on behalf of your children are commendable. We are also very proud of the accomplishments and achievements of our inquisitive and talented students. They love to learn and strive to do their personal best each day.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2007-08)

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities.

Meadow Park is a true community school. They show in their participation that school at Meadow Park is a strong partnership between home and school. Parents at the school participate in such activities as serving as room parents, as classroom volunteers, assisting the teacher with supervision during curricular field trips, and helping with special activities and events such as the Colonial Days, International Tase Festival, PTA and the Meadow Park Foundation. The PTA is very active at Meadow Park School, supporting school programs and many extra activities for the children such as field trips and assemblies. The School Site Council and the English Learner Advisory Committee assist with decisions to help improve school programs and student achievement. These councils serve in an advisory capacity and make recommendations in allocating categorical funding, ensuring the funding directly supports the schools plan and school improvement. We welcome and encourage our parent community to become involved at Meadow Park school.

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2007-08)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	70
Grade 1	85
Grade 2	101
Grade 3	89
Grade 4	88
Grade 5	95
Grade 6	73
Total Enrollment	601

### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2007-08)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
African American	2.66 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.33 %
Asian	32.95 %
Filipino	1.66 %
Hispanic or Latino	7.82 %

Pacific Islander	0.17 %
White (not Hispanic)	45.42 %
Multiple or No Response	8.99 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	9 %
English Learners	17 %
Students with Disabilities	16 %

## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

This table displays by grade level the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Grade Level	2005-06			2006-07			2007-08					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	31.7		3		31.7		2	1	33.0			3.0
1	19.3	4			20.4	3	2		19.4	5.0		
2	19.7	3			19.7	3			19.6	5.0		
3	20.0	4			19.0	4			19.8	4.0		
4	33.0			2	29.7		3		27.3		3.0	
5	34.5			2	35.0			3	30.3		4.0	
6	34.5			2	27.3		3		35.0			2.0
K-3	17.3	3			12.5	2			11.0	1.0		
3-4					10.0	1			8.0	1.0		
4-8	17.3	2		1	9.0	2			9.5	2.0		
Other												

## III. School Climate

### School Safety Plan (School Year 2007-08)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

We have an emphasis each year to ensure a safe environment for our children. Meadow Park has developed a comprehensive Safe School Plan. Our plan is evaluated yearly and amended, as needed by the School Site Council and by the key leaders of the Safety Committee. In addition, monthly fire drills and a full simulation earthquake drill are scheduled and implemented throughout the school year to assess our level of preparedness. We have emergency supplies to prepare for a potential disaster. These emergency supplies are kept in our Emergency Storage Shed for immediate access if needed. Our school is fully prepared to deal with a major emergency and to provide for the physical and emotional needs of the students and their orderly and safe release. We schedule regular drills to teach children appropriate safety actions in the event of an emergency. We also have the ability to reach all parents with an automated phone message system. Supervision is provided on the playground before and after school. There are specific procedures and rules governing bicycles for those students in grades 3 or older who ride to school. There are also procedures in place to administer medication during the school day for children. Our PTA provides funding for three additional days of health clerk time in the health room.

## Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Suspensions	3.7	0.7	0.0	4.0	3.1	3.6
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2

## IV. School Facilities

### Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

Meadow Park was completed in 1988 and was designed to share space with the Orange County Department of Education Special Education Program. Since the school has opened, ten re-locatable classrooms were added. Two additional permanent classrooms were created in the open space area of one wing of the school. Classroom audio visual equipment continues to be upgraded to reflect the changing technology. Meadow Park is fortunate to have a Multi-Purpose room, a library, 2 media centers, science room, and work areas for teachers and support staff. The school grounds are large and regularly maintained. The main playground and Kindergarten playground were remodeled with new equipment being installed during the 2006-2007 school year.

### School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

Item Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Gas Leaks	X			
Mechanical Systems	X			
Windows/Doors/Gates (interior and exterior)	X			
Interior Surfaces (walls, floors, and ceilings)	X			
Hazardous Materials (interior and exterior)	X			
Structural Damage	X			
Fire Safety	X			
Electrical (interior and exterior)	X			
Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Drinking Fountains (inside and outside)	X			
Restrooms	X			
Sewer	X			
Playground/School Grounds	X			
Roofs	X			
Overall Cleanliness	X			

## Overall Summary of School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the overall summary of the results of the most recently completed school site inspection.

Item Inspected	Facility Condition			
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall Summary	As of the most recently completed school site inspection, the facility condition is considered to be exemplary.			

## V. Teachers

### Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found at the [DataQuest](#) Web site.

Teachers	School			District
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2007-08
With Full Credential	28	32	31	1222
Without Full Credential	1	0	0	3
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	0

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

### Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2006-07)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers at the school, at all schools in the district, at high-poverty schools in the district, and at low-poverty schools in the district. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found at the [NCLB](#) Web site.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers	Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
All Schools in District	99.7	0.3
High-Poverty Schools in District		
Low-Poverty Schools in District	99.7	0.3

## VI. Support Staff

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2007-08)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	N/A	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	.75	N/A
Psychologist	.6	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	.2	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.4	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1.0	N/A
Other	0	N/A

## VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by a survey conducted in October, 2008. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned	0
Mathematics	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by a survey conducted in October, 2008. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned	0
Science	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by a survey conducted in October, 2008. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned	0
History-Social Science	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by a survey conducted in October, 2008. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned	0
Foreign Language	N/A	N/A
Health	All students are provided an individual textbook or	0

	instructional material as determined by a survey conducted in October, 2008. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned	
Visual and Performing Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook and/or instructional material.	0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	N/A	N/A

## VIII. School Finances

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2006-07)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found at the [Current Expense of Education](#) Web page and teacher salaries can be found on the [Certificated Salaries and Benefites](#) Web page.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	5,165	464	4,701	59,515
District	N/A	N/A	4,747	\$70116
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-0.98	-17.81
State	N/A	N/A	\$5300	\$65008
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-12.74	-9.23

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

For 2007-2008, Meadow Park Elementary School received special funds in the amount of \$ 172,918.31. The majority of these funds were spent on personnel, including – classroom teachers, and instructional assistants, and to serve with unique educational needs in the following programs:

Special Programs	Amount
English Language Acquisition Program	\$ 2,921.00
Economic Impact Aid	\$ 34,445.05
Gifted and Talented	\$ 270.00
School Improvement Plan	\$ 47,786.00
Title 1	\$ 87,496.26

### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2006-07)

This table displays district-level salary information for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the [Certificated Salaries and Benefits](#) Web page.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39238	\$40721

Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$70075	\$65190
Highest Teacher Salary	\$90584	\$84151
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$108570	\$104476
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$112956	\$108527
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$127207	\$119210
Superintendent Salary	\$182345	\$210769
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41.7 %	39.9 %
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.7 %	5.5 %

## IX. Student Performance

### California Standards Tests

The California Standards Tests (CSTs) show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades 2 through 11; science in grades 5, 8, and 9 through 11; and history-social science in grades 8, and 10 through 11. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding CST results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the [Standardized Testing and Reporting \(STAR\) Results](#) Web page.

### CST Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
English-Language Arts	80	84	82	75	76	78	42	43	46
Mathematics	85	86	86	74	74	76	40	40	43
Science	73	83	94	72	75	80	35	38	46
History-Social Science				66	60	67	33	33	36

*Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.*

### CST Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
African American	36	55	*	
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	82	94	91	
Filipino	*	*	*	
Hispanic or Latino	74	74	*	
Pacific Islander				
White (not Hispanic)	86	88	97	
Male	78	89	94	
Female	87	87	95	

Economically Disadvantaged	46	60	*	
English Learners	60	82	*	
Students with Disabilities	60	71	73	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

*Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.*

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2007-08)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades 5, 7, and 9 only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the healthy fitness zone on all six fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the [Physical Fitness Testing](#) Web page.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Healthy Fitness Zones
5	59.6

## X. Accountability

### Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found at the [API](#) Web page.

### API Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. These ranks are published when the Base API is released each March. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest 10 percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest 10 percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing 10 schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2005	2006	2007
Statewide	10	10	10
Similar Schools	10	10	10

### API Changes by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays by student group the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

Group	Actual API Change			Growth API Score
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008
All Students at the School	-10	2	9	930
African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				

Asian	-19	-8	19	951
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Pacific Islander				
White (not Hispanic)	0	0	4	933
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				904
Students with Disabilities			19	840

## Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the [AYP](#) Web page.

## AYP Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2007-08)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2008-09)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (English-language arts or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the [AYP](#) Web page.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	

## XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

## XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

### Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Meadow Park School and the Irvine Unified School District are committed to continuous professional improvement. The District and site has staff development days, as well as afternoon and evening workshops for teachers. Targeted staff development activities are essential for maintaining and improving quality education. We continue to develop reading and writing instructional strategies and practices, as well as methods to differentiate instruction to meet the needs of each student. Integration of technology into the curriculum, various strategies to deliver math instruction, and strategies to differentiate instruction to students were also a focus of staff development this year.

Number of school days dedicated to staff development

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Full Days	2	2	1
2 hour after-school sessions	3	3	6